- 1				
1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California THOMAS S. LAZAR			
2				
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General MARTIN W. HAGAN, State Bar No. 155553			
4	Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice			
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101			
6	P.O. Box 85266			
7	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2094			
8	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061			
9	Attorneys for Complainant			
10	BEFORE THE PHYSICAL THERAPY BOARD OF CALIFORNIA			
11	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
12				
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 1D-2004-63773		
14	JOHN KENNEDY, P.T.A. 10406 Camino San Thomas	OAH No. L2007020711		
15	San Diego, CA 92127	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER		
16	Physical Therapist Assistant License No. AT 2377			
17	Respondent.			
18				
19				
20	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND	AGREED by and between the parties to the		
21	above-entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:			
22	<u>PARTIES</u>			
23	1. Steven K. Hartzell (Complainant) is the Executive Officer of the Physical			
24	Therapy Board of California. He brought this action solely in his official capacity and is represented			
25	in this matter by Edmund G. Brown Jr., Attorney General of the State of California, by Martin W.			
26	Hagan, Deputy Attorney General.			
27	1///			
28	////			

7 8

9 10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24 25

26

27

////

////

28

- 2. Respondent JOHN KENNEDY, P.T.A. (Respondent) is representing himself in this proceeding and has chosen not to exercise his right to be represented by counsel.
- 3. On or about December 17, 1990, the Physical Therapy Board of California issued Physical Therapist Assistant License No. AT 2377 to Respondent. The Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 1D-2004-63773 and will expire on March 31, 2008, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

4. Accusation No. 1D-2004-63773 was filed before the Physical Therapy Board of California (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondent. A true and correct copy of the Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on December 12, 2006. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A true and correct copy of Accusation No. 1D-2004-63773 is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 5. Respondent has carefully read and fully understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 1D-2004-63773. Respondent has also carefully read and fully understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 6. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

- 8. Respondent admits the truth of each and every charge and allegation in Accusation No. 1D-2004-63773 with the exception of a qualified admission as to the first sentence in Paragraph 12. As to the first sentence of Paragraph 12, Respondent admits that he aided and abetted the unlicenced practice of physical therapy when he, as a physical therapist assistant, assigned the amount of time that registered physical therapists or physical therapist license applicants could spend evaluating patients.
- 9. Respondent agrees that his Physical Therapist Assistant License is subject to discipline and he agrees to be bound by the Physical Therapy Board of California (Board)'s imposition of discipline as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

CONTINGENCY

of California. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Physical Therapy Board of California may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.

OTHER MATTERS

11. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.

26 1///

27 | ///

28 | ///

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Respondent, John Kennedy, P.T.A., Physical Therapist Assistant License No. AT 2377 shall be publicly reproved as follows, subject to the terms set forth more fully below:

1. **PUBLIC REPROVAL**: Respondent, as the holder of Physical Therapist Assistant License No. AT 2377 shall be publicly reproved by a letter from the Physical Therapy Board of California subject to the terms set forth herein. The issuance of this public reproval shall be conditional on Respondent's full compliance with the California Law Examination and his obligations in regards to cost recovery which are conditions precedent to the issuance of this public reproval. The letter from the Physical Therapy Board shall contain the following public reproval:

On December 12, 2006, Accusation No. 1D-2004-63773 was filed against you before the Physical Therapy Board of California. Therein, it was alleged that in violation of multiple provisions of the Physical Therapy Practice Act, that between the approximate dates of July 23, 2003 and September 5, 2003 you: (1) aided-and abetted the unlicenced practice of physical therapy by assigning physical therapist assistants and physical therapy licensing applicants to perform patient evaluations without the required direct and immediate supervision of a licensed physical therapist; (2) aided-and-abetted the unlicenced practice of physical therapy by assigning the amount of time that registered physical therapists could spend evaluating and treating patients; and (3) improperly provided direct patient care while serving in your capacity as a Rehabilitation Director. You have admitted each of these violations of law.

You have taken and passed the Physical Therapy Board of California's Written Examination on the Laws and Regulations Governing the Practice or Performance of Physical Therapy and have satisfied your obligation in regard to cost recovery. Accordingly, pursuant to the authority of California Business and Professions Code section 495, the Physical Therapy Board of California hereby issues this public reproval.

2. <u>CALIFORNIA LAW EXAMINATION - WRITTEN EXAM ON THE</u>

LAWS AND REGULATIONS GOVERNING THE PRACTICE OR PERFORMANCE OF

PHYSICAL THERAPY Within 90 days of the effective date of this decision, respondent shall take and pass the Board's written examination on the laws and regulations governing the practice of physical therapy in California. If respondent fails to pass the examination, respondent shall be suspended from the practice of physical therapy until a repeat examination has been successfully passed.

- COST RECOVERY
 The respondent is ordered to reimburse the Board the actual and reasonable prosecutorial costs incurred by the Board in the amount of \$15,360.50. Said costs shall be reduced, however, and the remainder forgiven, if Respondent pays \$1,000.00 within ninety (90) days of the effective date of the Decision. In the event Respondent fails to pay the \$1,000.00 within ninety days (90) days of the Decision, the full amount of costs in the amount of \$15,360.50 shall be immediately due and payable. Failure to pay the ordered reimbursement shall constitute a violation of this order. The filing of bankruptcy by Respondent shall not relieve Respondent of his responsibility to reimburse the Board. If Respondent is in default of his responsibility to reimburse the Board will collect cost recovery from the Franchise Tax Board, the Internal Revenue Service or by any other means of attachment of earned wages legally available to the Board. Failure to fulfill the obligation could also result in attachment to the Department of Motor Vehicle registrations and/or license renewals.
- 4. **OBEY ALL LAWS** Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, and statutes and regulations governing the practice, inspections and reporting, of physical therapy in California. As part of this provision, Respondent agrees that, as a physical therapist assistant, he will not direct actual patient care which shall be within the purview of the registered physical therapist. As part of this provision, Respondent also agrees that he will not provide direct patient care while serving in a management or supervisory position at the Pacific Regents facility or while serving in a management or supervisory position at any other facility that provides physical therapy services.

1	4. FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH DISCIPLINARY ORDER : A material	
2	breach by Respondent of this disciplinary order shall constitute unprofessional conduct and shall be	
3	a basis for further disciplinary action by the Board.	
4	<u>ACCEPTANCE</u>	
5	I have carefully read the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order. I understand	
6	the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Physical Therapist Assistant License No. AT 2377.	
7	I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and	
8	intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Physical Therapy Board of	
9	California.	
10	DATED: 4-25-2007. John Kennedy, PTA	
11	JOHN KENNEDY, P.T.A. Respondent	
12	Respondent	
13	<u>ENDORSEMENT</u>	
14	The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully	
15	submitted for consideration by the Physical Therapy Board of California of the Department of	
16	Consumer Affairs.	
17	11/- 1	
18	DATED: 4/30/07	
19	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California	
20	THOMAS S. LAZAR	
21	Supervising Deputy Attorney General	
22	Mart. W. Hay	
23	MARTIN W. HAGAN Deputy Attorney General	
24	Attorneys for Complainant	
25		
26	DOJ Matter ID: SD2006802020 80126528.wpd	
27		
28		

Exhibit A Accusation No. 1D-2004-63773

1 2	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California THOMAS LAZAR	FILED
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General MARTIN W. HAGAN, State Bar No. 155553	STATE OF CALIFORNIA PHYSICAL THERAPY-BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
4	Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice	SACRAMENTO, CA <u>PICLINDER 12</u> , 2006
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101	BY CHLTZ ANALYST
6	P.O. Box 85266	
7 8	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2094 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061 E-mail: Martin.Hagan@doj.ca.gov	
9	Attorneys for Complainant	
10	BEFORE THE	
11	PHYSICAL THERAPY BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS	
12	STATE OF CAL	IFURNIA
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 1D-2004-63773
14	JOHN KENNEDY, P.T.A.	OAH No.
15	10406 Camino San Thomas San Diego, CA 92127	ACCUSATION
16	Physical Therapy Assistant Certificate No. AT 2377	
17	Respondent.	
18		
19	Complainant alleges:	
20	PARTIE	
21	1. Steven K. Hartzell (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in his official	
22	capacity as the Executive Officer of the Physical Therapy Board of California, Department of	
23	Consumer Affairs.	
24	2. On or about December 17, 1990, the Physical Therapy Board of California	
25	issued Physical Therapy Assistant (PTA) Certificate Number AT 2377 to JOHN KENNEDY, P.T.A.	
26	(Respondent). The Physical Therapy Assistant Certificate was in full force and effect at all times	
27	relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on March 31, 2008, unless renewed.	
28	1111	
	,	

4

6

5

7 8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

<u>JURISDICTION</u>

- This Accusation is brought before the Physical Therapy Board of California 3. (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
 - Section 2660 of the Code states:

The board may, after the conduct of appropriate proceedings under the Administrative Procedure Act, suspend for not more than 12 months, or revoke, or impose probationary conditions upon any license, certificate, or approval issued under this chapter for unprofessional conduct that includes, but is not limited to, one or any combination of the following causes:

- "(i) The aiding or abetting of any person to violate this chapter or any regulations duly adopted under this chapter.
- "(k) The aiding or abetting of any person to engage in the unlawful practice of physical therapy.

COST RECOVERY

- 5. Section 2661.5 of the Code states, in pertinent part:
- "(a) In any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the board, the board may request the administrative law judge to direct any licensee found guilty of unprofessional conduct to pay to the board a sum not to exceed the actual and reasonable costs of the investigation and prosecution of the case...."

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Aiding and Abetting the Unauthorized Practice of Physical Therapy)

6. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2660, as defined by sections 2660 (j) and (k) of the Code, in that Respondent aided and abetted the unlawful practice of physical therapy. The circumstances are as follows:

- 7. Respondent was the Rehabilitation Director at RehabNet, Inc. (RehabNet), which contracted to provide physical therapy services at the Springs at Pacific Regent (Pacific Regent), a skilled-care facility. Respondent has been the Rehabilitation Director at RehabNet, Inc., from approximately September 2002 to present.
- 8. In his capacity as Rehabilitation Director at RehabNet, Respondent handled, among other things, staffing, scheduling, billing, and other management functions related to rendering physical therapy services by RehabNet for Pacific Regent. As the Rehabilitation Director, Respondent was responsible for directing and assigning personnel for the performance of physical therapy services. To assist with fulfilling staffing needs, Respondent occasionally used the services of a placement agency, also referred to as a registry, to supplement staffing when the physical therapy treatment activity increased beyond the existing physical therapy staff's capacity.
- 9. Respondent aided and abetted the unlicenced practice of physical therapy by assigning physical therapist assistants and physical therapist license applicants to perform patient evaluations without the required direct and immediate supervision of a licensed physical therapist. Respondent admitted during his investigatory interview that, on occasion, physical therapist license applicants had performed physical therapy services without the direct supervision of a licensed physical therapist.
- 10. A review of patient records revealed that patient records were missing the required co-signature of a licensed physical therapist for services provided by physical therapist license applicants including, but not limited to, the following:
 - (a) No co-signature for Physical Therapist License Applicant (PTLA)

 Ayoub regarding Patient A.S. (7-23-03);
 - (b) No co-signature for PTLA Ayoub regarding Patient R.W. (7-23-03);
 - (c) No co-signature for PTLA Ratcliff regarding Patient D.L. (7-25-03);
 - (d) No co-signature for PTLA Ratcliff regarding Patient V.P. (7-25-03);
 - (e) No co-signature for PTLA Ayoub regarding Patient T.B. (7-28-03);
 - (f) No co-signature for PTLA Ayoub regarding Patient A.S. (7-28-03);
 - (g) No co-signature for PTLA Ayoub regarding Patient M.S. (7-28-03);

1	(h)	No co-signature for PTLA Ratcliff regarding Patient M.S. (7-29-03);
2	(i)	No co-signature for PTLA Ratcliff regarding Patient B.N. (7-29-03);
3	(j)	No co-signature for PTLA Ratcliff regarding Patient V.P. (7-25-03);
4	(k)	No co-signature for PTLA Ratcliff regarding Patient M.S. (7-30-03);
5	(1)	No co-signature for PTLA Ratcliff on Patient Ma.S. (7-30-03);
6	(m)	No co-signature for PTLA Ratcliff on Patient M.S. (7-31-03);
7	(n)	No co-signature for PTLA Ratcliff on Patient R.W. (7-31-03);
8	(0)	No co-signature for PTLA Ayoub regarding Patient T.B. (8-5-03);
9	(p)	No co-signature for PTLA Ratcliff regarding Patient J.H. (8-5-03);
10	(q)	No co-signature for PTLA Ayoub regarding Patient J.H. (8-6-03);
11	(r)	No co-signature for PTLA Ratcliff on Patient D.L. (8-7-03);
12	(s)	No co-signature for PTLA Ratcliff on Patient M.S. (8-7-03);
13	(t)	No co-signature for PTLA Ayoub regarding Patient R.S. (8-7-03);
14	(u)	No co-signature for PTLA Ratcliff regarding Patient R.S. (8-7-03);
15	(v)	No co-signature for PTLA Ratcliff regarding Patient R.W. (8-7-03);
16	(w)	No co-signature for PTLA Ayoub regarding Patient D.L. (8-8-03);
17	(x)	No co-signature for PTLA Ayoub regarding Patient V.P. (8-8-03);
18	(y)	No co-signature for PTLA Ratcliff regarding Patient J.S. (8-11-03);
19	(z)	No co-signature for PTLA Ratcliff regarding Patient D.L. (8-12-03);
20	(aa)	No co-signature for PTLA Ratcliff regarding Patient T.S. (8-13-03); and
21	(ab)	No co-signature for PTLA Ratcliff regarding Patient J.S. (8-13-03).
22	11.	There were also times when physical therapist license applicants worked
23	longer than any available supervising physical therapist including, but not limited to the following:	
24	during the period of July 23 to August 8, 2003, PTLA Ayoub was scheduled, on at least three	
25	occasions, to work longer than any available supervising physical therapist; and during the period	
26	of July 25 to August 13, 2003, PTLA Ratcliff was scheduled, on at least eight occasions, to work	
27	longer than any available supervising physical therapist.	

28 ///

- when he, as a physical therapy assistant, designed treatment plans and assigned the amount of time that registered physical therapists, physical therapist assistants or physical therapist license applicants could spend evaluating and treating patients. A review of Physical Therapy Charge Sheets include handwritten entries by Respondent indicating how many units (1 unit equals fifteen minutes) of evaluation or treatment time each patient was to receive. Respondent also assigned all evaluations for fifteen minutes thereby not allowing the physical therapist to determine the appropriate course of the evaluation considering that some patients would need a more thorough evaluation depending on the findings of the physical therapist.
- Respondent, on occasion, also served a dual role of examining patients as a physical therapist assistant. As of the date of his investigatory interview, Respondent admitted that he continued this dual role of serving as Rehabilitation Director and as a PTA, though on a much more limited basis, treating patients "once in a blue moon." Specific examples of Respondent serving in this dual role of Rehabilitation Director and physical therapist assistant include, but are not limited to the following:
 - (a) Respondent supervised staff and also treated Patient R.W. (8-4-03);
 - (b) Respondent supervised staff and also treated Patient R.W. (8-11-03);
 - (c) Respondent supervised staff and also treated Patient J.S. (8-12-03);
 - (d) Respondent supervised staff and also treated Patient J.S. (8-13-03);
 - (e) Respondent supervised staff and also treated Patient D.L. (8-15-03);
 - (f) Respondent supervised staff and also treated Patient D.L. (8-16-03);
 - (g) Respondent supervised staff and also treated Patient J.H. (8-19-03);
 - (h) Respondent supervised staff and also treated Patient A.S. (9-2-03);
 - (i) Respondent supervised staff and also treated Patient A.S. (9-3-03); and
 - (j) Respondent supervised staff and also treated Patient A.S. (9-5-03);

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Physical Therapy Board of California issue a decision:

- Revoking or suspending Physical Therapist Assistant Certificate No. AT 2377, 1. issued to JOHN KENNEDY, P.T.A.
- Ordering JOHN KENNEDY, P.T.A. to pay the Physical Therapy Board of 2. California the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 2661.5; and
 - 3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: 12/12/de

Executive Officer

Physical Therapy Board of California Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

SD2006802020

Kennedy, John-Accusation-Final.wpd 21

23

22

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

24

25

26

27

BEFORE THE PHYSICAL THERAPY BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 1D-2004-63773				
JOHN KENNEDY, P.T.A. 10406 Camino San Thomas San Diego, CA 92127	OAH No. L2007020711				
Physical Therapist Assistant License No. AT 2377					
Respondent.					
<u>DECISION AND ORDER</u>					
The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted by th					
Physical Therapy Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, as its Decision in the					
matter.					

FOR THE PHYSICAL THERAPY BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS

This Decision shall become effective on ______.

It is so ORDERED ______.